MEETING ATTENDEES

1. Tracey Dickinson, Yolo County Health and Human Services Agency
2. Marianne Estes, Yolo County Board of Supervisors, District 1
3. Robin Frank, Yolo Children’s Alliance*
4. Ginger Hashimoto, Yolo County Health and Human Services Agency
5. Ken Hawley, Homeless and/or Formerly Homeless Representative
6. Irma Jimenez-Perez, Yolo County Housing**
7. Michele Kellogg, Yolo Community Care Continuum*
8. Mary Anne Kirsch, Interfaith Rotating Winter Shelter of Davis
9. Elaine Lytle, Yolo County Health and Human Services Agency
10. Dan Maguire, City of Winters*
11. James McLeod, Yolo Community Care Continuum**
12. Alysa Meyer, Legal Services of Northern California*
13. Niomi Michel, Empower Yolo**
14. Amara Pickens, Fourth and Hope**
15. Joan Planell, City of Davis*
16. Bill Pride, Davis Community Meals and Housing*
17. Dan Sokolow, City of Woodland*
18. Martha Teeter, Davis Opportunity Village
19. Katy Zane, Short Term Emergency Aid Committee
20. Doug Zeck, Fourth and Hope*

*Indicates a primary voting designee
**Indicates a secondary voting designee

1. REVIEW AND APPROVE MEETING AGENDA

HPAC approved the agenda with no amendments.

• Motion: Bill Pride
• Second: Dan Sokolow
• Abstentions: None

2. APPROVE MEETING MINUTES FROM 4.26.17

HPAC approved the meeting minutes with no amendments.

• Motion: Alysa Meyer
• Second: Dan Sokolow
• Abstentions: None

3. DRAFT OUTLINE FOR REVISION OF 10-YEAR PLAN-DISCUSSION AND COMMENTS

Doug Zeck explained that HPAC worked in collaboration with the region’s executive commission to adopt a 10-year plan to end homelessness in 2010. Since then, there have been numerous changes in the community’s homeless system and the plan needs to be updated. Structurally, the executive
commission wants to better align our local plan with the federal plan. Since the Housing Authority serves as staff to the executive commission, they completed a draft revision. The executive commission is now seeking HPAC’s input.

Before the group began reviewing the plan, Bill Pride expressed his concern that HUD no longer touts the importance of 10-year plans. The group agreed that the notion of ending homelessness in 10-years is not as important as a communitywide plan describing the coordinated and strategic ways its homeless system strives to operate. As such, HPAC’s first recommendation was to no longer call the plan a 10-year plan. Bill also expressed his concern that the plan is not representative of local efforts, but more of a reiteration of federal mandates and directives.

Tracey Dickinson facilitated a discussion reviewing the following sections of the plan:

- Vision
- Mission
- Goals

**Vision**

The group disliked the current vision statement, as it was not strengths-based. The group preferred using the term, “everyone should” as opposed to “no one should be without.”

**Mission Statement**

The group agreed that the functional zero dates seem unrealistic. The dates appear to be based on the plan’s original end date of 2020 as opposed to what local data suggests.

**Goals**

1. Increase leadership, collaboration, and civic engagement;
2. Improve health and stability;
3. Increase access to stable and affordable housing
4. Increase economic security

Overall, the group wanted to prioritize the importance of creating a full continuum of decent and affordable housing. The group felt that housing was not underscored enough.

As the group did not discuss several sections of the plan, the group agreed to convene an ad hoc subcommittee to continue reviewing the remaining sections of the plan. The group agreed to convene one-hour, weekly meetings until its June 28 meeting.

**4. MEETING EVALUATION: PLUS/Delta/FUTURE TOPICS**

Michele Kellogg requested a presentation/demonstration on the app the City West of Sacramento is using to track encampments.