RESPONSE TO GRAND JURY REPORT

The governance of responses to the Grand Jury Final Report is contained in Penal Code §933 and §933.05. Responses must be submitted within 60 or 90 days. Elected officials must respond within sixty (60) days, governing bodies (for example, the Board of Supervisors) must respond within ninety (90) days. Please submit all responses in writing and digital format to the Advising Judge and the Grand Jury Foreperson.

Report Title: Grand Jury Final Report 2009–2010 Report Date: June 30, 2010

Response by: Dayton Gilleland, Ed.D. Title: Superintendent

FINDINGS

☐ I (we) agree with the findings numbered:

F-1 thru F-3

☐ I (we) disagree wholly or partially with the findings numbered:

RECOMMENDATIONS

☐ Recommendations numbered: have been implemented (attach a summary describing the implemented actions).

☐ Recommendations numbered: 10–29 and 10–30 require further analysis (attach an explanation of the analysis or study, and the time frame for the matter to be prepared by the officer or director of the agency or department being investigated or reviewed; including the governing body where applicable. The time frame shall not exceed six (6) months from the date of the Grand Jury Report).

☐ Recommendations numbered: will not be implemented because they are not warranted and/or are not reasonable (attach an explanation).

Date: 09/09/10 Signed: Gilleland
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September 9, 2010

Honorable Janet Gaard
Judge of the Yolo Superior Court, Department 15
1100 Main Street, Suite 300
Woodland, CA 95695

Honorable Janet Gaard,

This correspondence is in regards to the Grand Jury, County of Yolo’s report for 2009-2010 in which it referenced the Washington Unified School District. Per the requirements of responding to the findings and recommendations of the report, the Washington Unified School District respectfully submits the follow:

Recommendations
There were two (2) recommendations made by the Grand Jury, both of which appear to be reasonable and obtainable. However, further analysis of the recommendation(s) need to occur before a determination on their viability can be made.

Recommendation 10-29 – This recommendation is for the District to implement the School-Wide Information System across the District. The School-Wide Information System (SWIS) collects data about incidents of student misbehavior and is currently implemented at two District schools, Yolo High School and Riverbank Elementary School. The District is currently working on refining a positive school-wide behavioral support system in all schools, and the use of SWIS to track data may be expanded across the District to the other elementary schools and the comprehensive high school. Work on the behavioral support system should be completed early in calendar year 2011.

Recommendation 10-30 – This recommendation is for the District to install security cameras outside and inside at the Yolo Alternative Education Center. Before the recommendation is either accepted and/or implemented, the District will need to perform an assessment to determine: how many and what type of cameras are needed to provide an acceptable level of coverage; what level of monitoring and/or recording will the system be capable of; what infrastructure exists on the site to support the installation of a security camera system; determine budget required to purchase and install the system; and identify a viable funding source to pay for the purchase of the system. It is anticipated that the aforementioned will be completed by December 31, 2010.
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Should you have any additional questions or require further information, please contact Scott A. Lantsberger, Assistant Superintendent of Business Services at (916) 375-7604 x1010, or e-mail to slantsberger@wusd.k12.ca.us.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Dayton Gilleland, Ed.D.
Superintendent

Cc: WUSD Board of Education